

MDEStat Meeting

December 10, 2012



Robert Summers, Secretary

Sue Battle-McDonald, Stat Director

Table 1: Renovation Repair and Painting Lead Paint Poisoning Program Implementation Timeline *(Continued on next slide)*

| DATE | TASK | STATUS |
|---------------------------|---|--|
| April 2012 – July 2012 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Contact EPA about additional funding for FY 2014 •Meet with EPA. Establish contacts from other States that have RRP authorization for input. •Develop LPPP work group to amend regulations •LPPP, OAG & Admin Director meet regularly to discuss HB 644 status. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Done- Additional allocations preliminarily promised to MDE for FY 2013- \$75,000. •Met with EPA on July 12, 2012. Generated a list of considerations for RRP impanation in Maryland. Decimated to staff and OAG for follow-up consideration. Most states in Region 3 have adopted full EPA RRP (little or no variation), because it was indentedified to be very problematic with Programs that had existing lead programs authorized by EPA. •Completed- Weekly Meetings with LPPP and OAG since July, 2012. At this time, MDE staff is not only reviewing COMAR regulations under Title 26, but also COMAR 13A (Education) as well as HUD Guidelines, and 40 CFR 745 of the Federal Register. Of key note is phasing in of accredited EPA contractors, clearance at what level & training requirements. •Monthly meetings scheduled with LMA Director, OAG and LPPP through December 2012. |

Table 1: Renovation Repair and Painting Lead Paint Poisoning Program Implementation Timeline *(Continued on next slide)*

| •DATE | •TASK | •STATUS |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| <p>July 2012 – January 2013</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Work on contract to have better data collection ability, including universe of properties, accreditations, and certifications •Initial outreach to training providers currently accredited by MDE •Evaluate number of existing training providers, to ensure adequate amount for training needs. Work with Local existing training providers currently accredited with EPA. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Working with MES currently. Plan is to get Registration data current, then work on certification and accreditation data bases, followed by enforcement data base. August 28, 2012 LPPP Manager and LMA Director and OS Manager met with SDAT to determine data available for determining regulated community. •Meeting transpired scheduled September 13, 2012. Currently drafting considerations that will be provided to the Secretary in a final report. •Drafting letter to existing RRP training providers that are not MD accredited for RR |

Table 1: Renovation Repair and Painting Lead Paint Poisoning Program Implementation Timeline *(Continued on next slide)*

| DATE | TASK | STATUS |
|---|--|--|
| Continued: July 2012 – January 2013 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Schedule meeting for outreach to DLLR, MHIC, permitting offices and Industry •Begin approval process for hiring employees to begin implementation of RRP. •Start EPA self authorization | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Letter to DOLLAR for MDE to Present at MHIC meeting in January or February 2013 to go out week of December 4, 2012. •Establish if state laws can require permitting offices to include RRP Accredited Firm prior to authorizing permits. May not occur until after State authorization. Baltimore City is considering making it part of their permitting process. |

Table 1: Renovation Repair and Painting Lead Paint Poisoning Program Implementation Timeline

| DATE | TASK | STATUS |
|----------------------------|---|--------|
| January 2013– July 2013 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Complete regulations •Apply for self authorization •Continue outreach | |
| July- December 2013 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Have new data system in place for on line services •Develop Program SOP •Continue outreach | |
| January 1, 2014 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Start date of implementation | |

**Table 2: Children with a First-Time Blood-Lead Level
5-9 $\mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$ in 2011** (Continued on next slide)

| Number of children 0-72 Months Tested for Lead in 2011 and had the First Blood Lead Level of 5-9 $\mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$ | | | |
|---|-------------|-----------|-------|
| County | Sample Type | | Total |
| | Venous | Capillary | |
| Allegany | 21 | 21 | 42 |
| Anne Arundel | 38 | 24 | 62 |
| Baltimore | 127 | 113 | 240 |
| Baltimore City | 731 | 274 | 1,005 |
| Calvert | 7 | 4 | 11 |
| Caroline | 9 | 5 | 14 |
| Carroll | 22 | 3 | 25 |
| Cecil | 4 | 12 | 16 |
| Charles | 10 | 3 | 13 |
| Dorchester | 6 | 3 | 9 |
| Frederick | 30 | 6 | 36 |
| Garrett | 6 | 2 | 8 |
| Harford | 12 | 11 | 23 |

**Table 2: Children with a First-Time Blood-Lead Level
5-9 $\mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$ in 2011** (Continued on next slide)

| Number of children 0-72 Months Tested for Lead in 2011 and had the First Blood Lead Level of 5-9 $\mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$ | | | |
|---|-------------|-----------|-------|
| County | Sample Type | | Total |
| | Venous | Capillary | |
| Howard | 7 | 9 | 16 |
| Kent | 4 | 2 | 6 |
| Montgomery | 88 | 58 | 146 |
| Prince George's | 155 | 58 | 213 |
| Queen Anne's | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| Saint Mary's | 2 | 17 | 19 |
| Somerset | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| Talbot | 6 | 5 | 11 |
| Washington | 128 | 21 | 149 |
| Wicomico | 32 | 9 | 41 |
| Worcester | 7 | 0 | 7 |

**Table 2: Children with a First-Time Blood-Lead Level
5-9 $\mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$ in 2011**

| Number of children 0-72 Months Tested for Lead in 2011 and had the First Blood Lead Level of 5-9 $\mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$ | | | |
|---|-------------|-----------|-------|
| County | Sample Type | | Total |
| | Venous | Capillary | |
| County Unknown | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Statewide | 1,465 | 665 | 2,130 |
| | | | |

Note: If a child ever tested for lead and had a blood lead level ≥ 5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$ before 2011 or a blood lead level ≥ 10 $\mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$ in 2011 is not included in this table.